

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,533	MCMORRIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amended

 (1) Leigh C. Maier.

(3) _____.

 (2) William Prout.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr notified Mr. Prout that claims were essentially in order for allowance but the extraneous variable "X" (noted in previous Office action) remained in the claim despite remarks in indicating that this would be deleted. Mr. Prout authorized an examiner's amendment to correct the oversight.